Public Administration "Changing Paradigms of Public Administration in Facing the Global Health Crisis: Lessons from the Pandemic"

Puji Hastuti[1], Nurul Fadhilah[2], Sahwa Desma Anggraini[3],Isra Muksin[4]

[1] Mahasiswa Doktor Ilmu Administrasi Publik Unsoed Purwokerto, Indonesia, [2]Universitas Sriwijaya, Indonesia, [3]SMK 2 Kota Jambi, Indonesia
[4] Universitas Bumi Hijrah Tidore, Indonesia

✉ Corresponding Author:
Name author: Puji Hastuti
E-mail: puji.hastuti@mhs-unsoed.ac.id

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has changed the paradigm of public administration in dealing with the global health crisis by emphasizing the importance of multilateralism and cross-border cooperation. The government now plays the role of a facilitator that integrates health and political policies to respond more effectively. The study identifies countries with swift and transparent leadership, such as Vietnam, that are better able to respond to the pandemic, while also exposing global inequalities in low-income countries that need international support. Public administration has a crucial role in designing effective policies, providing resources, and implementing measures such as social distancing, with transparent communication to build public trust. The digitization of public services and evidence-based collaboration between governments and scientists have become important pillars in responding to people's needs. This study uses a descriptive-qualitative approach with case studies and comparative analysis of policies in various countries. The results show that adaptation and innovation in public administration, including increasing digital literacy and community participation, are critical to building health system resilience to future crises. This research is expected to contribute to public administration theory and relevant policy recommendations to face similar challenges in the future.

Keywords: COVID-19 Pandemic; Global Health Crisis; Public Administration

## 1.	Introduction

As one of the most impactful health crises in modern history, the COVID-19 pandemic has triggered a fundamental transformation in the way public administration operates. The COVID-19 pandemic has changed the paradigm of public administration in dealing with the global health crisis (Fadhila & Wicaksana, 2020). In addition to ensuring health as a global public good with cross-border coordination, the government must also shift its focus from nationalism to multilateralism, where cooperation and shared accountability are priorities. These changes further emphasize the importance of governance and political dynamics that play a major role in determining the effectiveness of responses, including the integration between health and political policies (Gore & Parker, 2019). Countries with prompt and transparent leadership, such as Vietnam, are able to respond better to the pandemic. Pratama et.al., (2021) explained that the pandemic revealed significant global inequalities, especially in low-income countries, which require international support in the form of vaccine access and health assistance. Uneven distribution of vaccines, lack of health infrastructure, and limited medical resources are major challenges for these countries in their efforts to deal with the crisis (Maharani & Maherni, 2022). Without strong collaboration and support from the international community, many countries risk being trapped in a cycle of uncertainty that could exacerbate the health and economic impacts of the pandemic. Therefore, collective efforts to ensure equitable access to vaccines and healthcare are essential to build global resilience and minimize the impact of future health

crises.

In facing the challenges presented by the pandemic, public administration must adapt quickly to changing dynamics. This involves a careful assessment of the public health situation and the necessary responses, such as the preparation of a comprehensive contingency plan (Sarjito, 2024). With data that is constantly updated, public administration can formulate policies that are not only relevant to current conditions, but also consider the long-term impact of these policies (Hardi, 2020). Through an evidence-based approach, the government can evaluate the effectiveness of the measures taken and make adjustments if necessary, so that the resulting policies become more responsive and adaptive.

In addition, synergies between the government, the private sector, and civil society are essential in creating resilient and sustainable systems. This collaboration allows for the sharing of resources and expertise, as well as strengthening social support networks for those affected (Chadijah et.al., 2020). For example, community-based initiatives can be implemented to support the distribution of social assistance and health counseling, so that the community can play an active role in pandemic management efforts. Thus, public administration not only functions as a regulator, but also as a facilitator that empowers the community to contribute to creating effective solutions to the crisis faced (Akib et.al., 2022).

More than just a response to the health crisis, the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the transformation of the way public administration works in providing services to the community (Wismayanti & Purnamaningsih, 2023). Digitalization carried out, for example through e-government, not only improves service accessibility but also operational efficiency (Arianto, 2022). By utilizing information technology, the government can provide up-to-date information to the public quickly and transparently, while reducing bureaucracy that can slow down the process. Telemedicine, as a real example, allows remote medical consultations, so that patients can still get health services without having to face the risk of transmission in health facilities (Pascaningrum, 2023).

In addition, the evidence-based approach implemented during the pandemic has encouraged the importance of comprehensive data collection and analysis. Collaboration between governments and scientists has opened up space for in-depth research and epidemiological modeling, allowing governments to develop more targeted policies. By listening to and considering input from experts, decision-makers at the government level can respond to changing situations in a more informed and accountable way. On the other hand, decentralization of government gives local governments more autonomy in crisis management, which recognizes that local solutions are often more relevant and effective (Hoesein et.al., 2022). Community involvement in prevention activities, such as vaccination campaigns and health education, not only increases policy compliance but also builds a sense of ownership and shared responsibility in fighting the pandemic (Humaedi et.al., 2021). Thus, the synergy between technological innovation, evidence-based approaches, and community participation is key in creating a more responsive and adaptive public administration in the future.

Nonetheless, governments around the world faced a variety of complex challenges during the pandemic. Limited health resources and medical personnel are the main obstacles, while public non-compliance with health protocols worsens the situation (Mayasiroh, 2021). Coordination between agencies at the national and international levels is also often hampered, reducing the effectiveness of policy integration. Socio-economic impacts such as increasing unemployment and inequality make the government have to balance between handling the health crisis and mitigating economic impacts (Dewi et.al., 2024). Savitri et.al., (2022) said that disinformation spreading in the community has further reduced public trust in health policies, especially related to vaccination. To face these challenges, public administration is required to adapt, innovate, and cooperate across sectors, in order to minimize the impact of the crisis and restore socio-economic stability in a sustainable manner.

Going forward, it is important for public administration to strengthen the resilience of the health system to be better prepared for future crises. This includes increased investment in health infrastructure, strengthening the capacity of medical personnel, and developing mechanisms for early detection and response to health threats. Candrawati (2022) explained the need for international collaboration to continue to be improved, both through initiatives such as COVAX and by sharing technology and knowledge to expand access to vaccines and treatments. The COVID-19 pandemic has also taught that national health systems must be more integrated with socio-economic sectors to face complex challenges more comprehensively.

Digital transformation is one of the important pillars in the paradigm shift in public administration. Information technology allows the government to expand services, ranging from telemedicine to digital platforms for case monitoring and reporting. With big data, the government can be more effective in implementing evidence-based policies and making predictions related to potential spikes in cases in the future (Tanwir et.al., 2023). However, this transformation also requires an increase in digital literacy among the community and stakeholders, so that the use of technology can run optimally and inclusively, especially in areas with minimal access to technology. In addition to technology, increasing public participation and inclusive governance are crucial aspects in modern public administration. The pandemic has shown that community involvement is not only important in policy implementation, but also in creating trust and social solidarity. In this context, the government needs to create a participatory space that allows the community to contribute to decision-making and policy evaluation.

Thus, public participation is not only a formality, but also a vital element that strengthens the legitimacy and effectiveness of the policies taken.

Integrating a community-based approach allows governments to be more responsive to local needs, understand specific problems facing communities, and adapt policies that are relevant to local conditions (Afolabi & Ilesanmi, 2022). In addition, strengthening social safety nets through collaboration with civil society organizations and local communities can help identify vulnerable groups that need more attention. Community participation in formulating and evaluating policies can also enrich existing perspectives, creating more comprehensive and sustainable solutions.

Strengthening inclusive and innovative governance will form a solid foundation for public administration in the face of future crises. By creating an open and transparent system, where people's voices are heard and valued, governments can build better relationships with their citizens. In addition, this approach will strengthen the capacity of communities to actively contribute to development, making them strategic partners in creating policies that are responsive and adaptive to change. Through strengthening public participation and inclusive governance, public administration will be better prepared to face future challenges, while strengthening the foundations of democracy and community engagement. In this context, it is important to understand that this research focuses not only on the analysis of existing policies, but also on how participatory processes can be optimized to support the necessary changes in public administration. This study aims to examine the paradigm change in public administration that occurred during the pandemic, analyze the government's response to the health crisis, and evaluate the effectiveness of public policies in handling it. In addition, this study also seeks to identify lessons that can be learned from the pandemic, which can later be used to strengthen public administration in the future. Theoretically, this research is expected to contribute to the development of public administration theory, especially in the context of the global health crisis. From a practical perspective, this study aims to provide recommendations that can be used in improving public policy and management in dealing with future crises.

2.   Research Methods

This research method uses a descriptive-qualitative approach with a type of research in the form of case studies equipped with comparative analysis of public policies in several countries. Flick (2018) emphasizes that descriptive research in a qualitative context provides a deeper picture of the subject's context and experience. This helps researchers understand the complexity of social interactions and the dynamics that exist in society. The data used in this study consists of two types, namely primary data and secondary data. Primary data was obtained through interviews with public officials, health experts, and public administration experts. The subjects of this study are 10 people. Meanwhile, secondary data is obtained from policy reports, government documents, and scientific journals that discuss changes in public administration during the pandemic. The data collection techniques applied include documentation studies and in-depth interviews. To analyze the data, thematic analysis was used that focused on policy responses and paradigm shifts in public administration

3.   Results

A.   Changes in the Paradigm of Public Administration During the Pandemic

The COVID-19 pandemic has served as a significant turning point in public administration around the world, prompting a fundamental paradigm shift from a hierarchical approach to a collaborative approach in the face of the global health crisis. In the framework of public administration, this shift signifies that the government no longer only functions as a top-down decision-maker, but also as a facilitator that actively engages various stakeholders (Taufik & Warsono, 2020). This includes close collaboration between governments, the private sector, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and civil society. This collaborative approach is crucial, especially in emergency situations, where the exchange of information and resources is key to formulating more inclusive and responsive policies. By prioritizing public participation through digital platforms and discussion forums, public administration can increase transparency and build public trust in the decisions taken (Lerrick, 2022). This not only strengthens the legitimacy of the policy, but also makes public administration more responsive to changes in the needs of the community that occur in a short time.

Furthermore, the acceleration of technology adoption and digitalization in public administration during the pandemic reflects the importance of innovation in responding to crises. Many governments in different countries are launching online applications and platforms to provide public services, such as vaccination registration, tax payments, and access to health information. This initiative not only makes it easier for the public to access but also reduces the risk of spreading the virus by minimizing physical contact. The use of information and communication technology (ICT) allows the government to collect and analyze data in real-time, provide the information needed to monitor the spread of the virus, identify trends, and formulate more targeted policies (Fauzi et.al., 2023). This digital transformation also has a positive impact on transparency in public administration; The

public can now easily access information about policies, budget allocations, and the effectiveness of government programs. Thus, public administrations that successfully integrate technology in their public services not only become more efficient, but also better able to provide better and faster responses in emergency situations.

Finally, the experience gained during this pandemic emphasizes the importance of health policies that can quickly adapt to the changing situation. In this context, public administration is faced with the demand to formulate flexible and dynamic policies, such as the development of health protocols, social distancing strategies, and vaccination programs that are responsive to the latest data on the spread of the virus. An evidence-based approach is crucial, where the measures taken by the government must be based on valid scientific data and research to control the spread of the virus and protect public health. In addition, this global crisis has also encouraged increased international cooperation in the health sector, where information exchange, joint research, and vaccine distribution are concrete examples of adaptive and responsive health policies.

The lessons learned from this pandemic not only provide insight into the importance of collaboration and technology in public administration, but also encourage better health policy reforms and risk management to face future global health challenges (Faedlulloh et.al., 2020). In other words, this paradigm shift is a solid foundation for public administration to build a more resilient and responsive health system in the face of crises that may occur in the future, given that global health challenges will continue to be an integral part of the world's social and economic landscape. These changes not only create a better response to the current crisis, but also prepare public administrations to be more proactive in facing future challenges, ensuring that the global health system can adapt and survive in the face of various potential threats.

Furthermore, this new approach in public administration also opens up space for innovation in resource management. By adopting a collaborative model, governments can leverage the knowledge and skills possessed by various stakeholders, including the private sector and society. This can create more creative and sustainable solutions in facing health challenges. For example, collaborations with tech companies can lead to more sophisticated apps and tools for contact tracing, health data management, and public awareness campaigns. When resources are managed collectively, the potential to improve the quality of public services and cost efficiency becomes greater, while reducing reliance on traditional models that are often rigid and sluggish (Haldane & Turrel, 2018)

In addition, this paradigm change also has the potential to strengthen public involvement in public policy supervision and accountability. In the context of a more inclusive public administration, the community not only plays the role of beneficiaries, but also as supervisors and assessors. Through a systematic and transparent feedback mechanism, the public can submit input on the policies implemented, including the evaluation of health programs. This can increase government accountability, where ineffective policies can be quickly identified and remedied. Thus, collaboration between governments and communities not only increases the effectiveness of response to crises, but also creates a stronger culture of participation, where each individual feels a part of the decision-making process that impacts collective well-being.

B. Challenges Faced by Public Administration

The challenges faced by public administration in responding to crises are complex and diverse. One of the main challenges is bureaucratic bottlenecks, where rigid structures often hinder a quick and efficient decision-making process (Utami, 2023). In emergency situations such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the need for a rapid response is critical. However, lengthy and complicated administrative procedures can lead to delays in the implementation of the necessary policies. In addition, the lack of coordination between government agencies also exacerbates the situation, as each agency often has different priorities and policies. This results in difficulties in collaboration and slows down the response to crises. The involvement of many stakeholders in the decision-making process is also a challenge, as it requires extra time and effort to reach an agreement (Kujala et.al 2022). Therefore, reforms in the bureaucratic structure are needed to speed up the process and improve the effectiveness of the response.

In addition to bureaucratic barriers, limited resources are also a significant challenge for public administration, especially in developing countries. Many governments experience budget shortfalls that impact their ability to implement the policies needed in crisis situations (Setiadi, 2021). Limitations in skilled and experienced human resources are also a barrier to effective crisis management. In many developing countries, access to adequate health infrastructure is severely limited, resulting in difficulties in dealing with health crises. In addition, limited budgets are often allocated only to specific priorities, so other sectors may be overlooked. The lack of training and capacity building among the workforce further exacerbates the situation, given the importance of the right skills in dealing with complex challenges. Therefore, efforts are needed to increase resource allocation and capacity building in public administration.

The differences between developed and developing countries in terms of capacity to respond to crises are also a significant challenge. Developed countries tend to have better infrastructure, technology, and human resources, which allows them to respond to crises more effectively and efficiently. In contrast, developing countries often struggle with limited access to the technology and infrastructure needed to cope with crises. Lower social and economic resilience in developing countries makes their populations more vulnerable to the impacts of crises, which can result in higher levels of poverty and injustice. These differences demonstrate the need for stronger international cooperation to support developing countries in building capacity and resilience. With adequate support, it is hoped that developing countries can improve their response to the crisis and reduce the negative impact caused. Overcoming these challenges is an important step to build a more responsive and adaptive public administration system in the future.

In addition, the challenges of communication in public administration cannot be ignored. During a crisis, accurate and timely information is essential to help people understand the situation and the actions that need to be taken. However, in many cases, the lack of effective communication strategies results in confusion and mistrust among the public. Lack of clarity of information, especially with regard to health and safety policies, can create greater uncertainty and lower public compliance with established protocols (Rosyadi & Indiaho, 2020). Therefore, the government needs to develop transparent and integrated communication, including the use of social media and digital channels to convey accurate information. Involving communication experts and the public in the process of conveying information will also increase the credibility and effectiveness of the message conveyed.

Furthermore, challenges in terms of policy integration are also an important factor that must be overcome. In the face of a crisis, the synergy between health, social, and economic policies is crucial to create a holistic and effective response. However, often policies in various sectors run separately without adequate coordination. For example, social restriction policies may not be balanced with strong social protection programs, thus negatively impacting vulnerable groups (Purbaningrum & Adinugraha, 2024). Therefore, a more integrated approach is needed in formulating and implementing policies, which not only consider health aspects but also broader social and economic impacts. By building an interrelated policy system, public administration can be more effective in responding to crises and reducing the impact on society.

In addition to the challenges that have been mentioned, changes in people's behavior during the pandemic are also factors that affect the effectiveness of public administration. The uncertainty posed by this global health crisis has caused many individuals to change their lifestyles and preferences, which can affect the response to government policies. People are becoming more reliant on digital information and online platforms to obtain news and services, thus reinforcing the need for governments to adjust communication and service delivery strategies. Adaptation to these new behaviors requires public administrations to develop relevant programs, such as telehealth services and online education programs (Taufik & Warsono, 2020). By understanding the dynamics of people's behavior, the government can design policies that are more targeted and able to reach all levels of society, including groups that were previously neglected.

Furthermore, ethical challenges in public administration also need attention. In crisis situations, decisions taken often involve trade-offs between public health and individual freedom, which can trigger ethical dilemmas. Policies implemented to restrict people's movements, even if they are necessary to control the spread of the virus, could be seen as a violation of human rights. Therefore, it is important for public administration to prioritize the principles of transparency and accountability in every decision taken. The government must ensure that every policy implemented is based on careful consideration and involves public participation, so as to minimize resistance and increase public trust. Managing these ethical challenges will be key in building legitimacy and support for policies taken during and after the crisis.

C. Transforming Public Administration in a Global Health Crisis

In the context of a paradigm shift in public administration, the lessons learned from the COVID-19 pandemic are very relevant to develop more effective strategies in dealing with future global health crises. The change from a hierarchical approach to a collaborative approach, which has become one of the main characteristics of modern public administration, was evident during the pandemic (Kusumasari et.al., 2024). Success in addressing this global health crisis is highly dependent on the government's ability to collaborate with a wide range of stakeholders, including the private sector, non-governmental organizations, and civil society. This shows that public administration needs to adopt a more inclusive and participatory model in decision-making, so that the resulting policies can be more responsive to the needs of the community.

Clear and transparent communication practices, which have proven effective during the pandemic, also reflect the importance of public administration in building public trust (Arianto, 2022). In this context, public administration must be able to provide accurate and up-to-date information to the public regarding the health

situation and the steps taken to deal with it. Effective communication not only strengthens public compliance with health policies, but also contributes to the formation of a culture of more active participation in public decision-making.

In addition, the need for health policy reform and risk management reflects the need to increase the capacity of public administration in the face of global health challenges. Strengthening health infrastructure, improving access to health services, and developing human resource capacity are steps that must be integrated into public policy. In addition, the application of an evidence-based approach in decision-making and the development of a better monitoring system will help public administration to be better prepared to face future crises. By linking the lessons from the pandemic with a paradigm shift in public administration, it is hoped that the public administration system can become more responsive, adaptive, and able to protect public health more effectively in the midst of the upcoming global health crisis.

The COVID-19 pandemic has also shown the importance of the use of information technology in public administration. The use of digital platforms for health services, communication, and information delivery to the public is very crucial. The use of mobile applications, telemedicine, and social media not only speeds up the process of delivering information, but also increases public access to needed health services. Thus, the adoption of technology in public administration must be strengthened, in order to be able to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the response to future health crises. The government needs to invest in the development of digital infrastructure and increase technological literacy among the community to ensure that all levels of society can participate in the post-pandemic recovery process.

Furthermore, the experience during the pandemic highlights the importance of proactive planning in public administration. The success of health risk mitigation depends not only on the reaction to emerging crises, but also on the prevention efforts undertaken beforehand. Therefore, it is important for the government to formulate a long-term strategy that includes risk analysis, scenario simulation, and flexible policy setting. Community involvement in the planning process must also be an integral part of this approach, so that the resulting policies are more relevant and rooted in the real needs and conditions of the community. With these measures, public administrations can be better prepared and responsive in facing various global health challenges in the future..

4.   Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has changed the paradigm of public administration from a hierarchical approach to a collaborative one, where the government acts as a facilitator involving various stakeholders. This approach facilitates the exchange of information and resources, which is crucial in emergency situations. The adoption of technology and digitalization during the pandemic has also improved the efficiency of public services and transparency, allowing for real-time data collection to formulate more responsive policies. However, challenges such as bureaucratic bottlenecks and resource constraints, especially in developing countries, remain, pointing to the need for stronger international cooperation. Lessons from the pandemic emphasized the importance of reforms in health policy and risk management, as well as clear communication practices to build public trust. By implementing this insight, it is hoped that public administration can become more responsive and adaptive in facing global health challenges in the future.

References

Afolabi, A., & Ilesanmi, O. (2022). Community engagement for COVID-19 prevention and control: A systematic review. Public Health and Toxicology, 2(2), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.18332/pht/149230

Akib, H., Abdullah, N. R., Niswaty, R., Arhas, S. H., & Awaluddin, M. (2022). Maintenance of Office Facilities at the Makassar City Public Works Service. Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi Publik, 12(1), 69. https://doi.org/10.26858/jiap.v12i1.32767

Arianto, B. (2022). Melacak Pelayanan Publik Berbasis Media Sosial Pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19 di Provinsi Banten. *JRK (Jurnal Riset Komunikasi)*, *13*(1), 113-136. 10.31506/JRK..V13i1.15788

Arianto, B. (2022). Melacak Pelayanan Publik Berbasis Media Sosial Pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19 Di Provinsi Banten. JRK (Jurnal Riset Komunikasi), 13(1), 113. https://doi.org/10.31506/jrk.v13i1.15788

Arianto, B. (2022). Pedagogi Digital Dalam Pendidikan Indonesia Di Masa Pandemi COVID-19. Jurnal Cerdik: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pengajaran, 2(1), 106–123. https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jcerdik.2022.002.01.09

Candrawati, T. B. (2021). *Peran World Health Organization Dalam Upaya Penanganan Covid-19 Di Indonesia Tahun 2020* (Bachelor's thesis, Fakultas Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah Jaka

Chadijah, S., Suyadi, A., & Tohadi, T. (2020). Tarik Menarik Kewenangan Pemerintah Pusat dan Pemerintah Daerah dalam Penanganan Pandemi Covid-19. Rechtsregel : Jurnal Ilmu Hukum, 3(2), 226. https://doi.org/10.32493/rjih.v3i2.8091

Dewi, R. A. P. K., Robinson, P., Puspitarini, R. C., Maksin, M., Putri, R. Y., Hidayati, N., & Fitrianti, D. (2024). Relevansi Pembangunan Berkelanjutan dengan Risiko. *PERSPEKTIF*, *13*(3), 767-784. 10.31289/perspektif.v13i3.11660

Fadhila, A. A., & Wicaksana, L. (2020). Sistematik Review: Fleksibel Working Arrangement (Fwa) Sebagai Paradigma Baru Asn Di Tengah Pandemi COVID-19. Spirit Publik: Jurnal Administrasi Publik, 15(2), 111. https://doi.org/10.20961/sp.v15i2.44542.

Faedlulloh, D., Maarif, S., Meutia, I. F., & Yulianti, D. (2020). Birokrasi dan revolusi industri 4.0: Mencegah Smart ASN menjadi mitos dalam agenda reformasi birokrasi Indonesia. *Jurnal Borneo Administrator*, *16*(3), 313-336. https://doi.org/10.24258/jba.v16i3.736

Fauzi, A. A., Kom, S., Kom, M., Budi Harto, S. E., Mm, P. I. A., Mulyanto, M. E., ... & Rindi Wulandari, S. (2023). *Pemanfaatan Teknologi Informasi di Berbagai Sektor Pada Masa Society 5.0*. PT. Sonpedia Publishing Indonesia.

Gore, R., & Parker, R. (2019). Analysing power and politics in health policies and systems. *Global Public Health*, *14*(4), 481–488. https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2019.1575446.

Haldane, A. G., & Turrell, A. E. (2018). An interdisciplinary model for macroeconomics. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 34(1–2), 219–251. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/grx051

Hardi, W. (2020). Collaborative Governance Dalam Perspektif Administrasi Publik.

Hoesein, Z. A., Arifudin, A., & Rahayu, S. D. (2022). The Effectiveness of Decentralization Policy in Local Government Administration. Jurnal Akta, 9(2), 242. https://doi.org/10.30659/akta.v9i2.23544

Humaedi, M. A., Purwaningsih, S. S., Sundari, L. V., & Fathy, R. (2021). Membangun Kegotongroyongan Dan Mengaktifkan Peran Kepemimpinan Lokal: Strategi Pentahelix Penanganan Dampak Covid-19. Jurnal Masyarakat Dan Budaya, 23(1). https://doi.org/10.14203/jmb.v23i1.1203

Kujala, J., Sachs, S., Leinonen, H., Heikkinen, A., & Laude, D. (2022). Stakeholder Engagement: Past, Present, and Future. Business & Society, 61(5), 1136-1196. https://doi.org/10.1177/00076503211066595

Kusumasari, B., Sajida, S., Santoso, A. D., & Fauzi, F. Z. (2024). The Reinventing of public administration in the new hybrid world. Teaching Public Administration, 42(2), 206-229. https://doi.org/10.1177/01447394231191927

Lerrick, B. A. (2022). Model Baru Pelayanan Administrasi Pemerintahan Daerah dalam Era New Normal di Indonesia. Jurnal Manajemen Dan Ilmu Administrasi Publik (JMIAP), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.24036/jmiap.v4i1.372

Maharani, Y., & Marheni, M. (2022). Strategi kebijakan dalam mengatasi krisis ekonomi di masa pandemi covid-19:(studi kasus indonesia). *Jemasi: Jurnal Ekonomi Manajemen dan Akuntansi*, *17*(02), 234-244. https://doi.org/10.35449/jemasi.v17i02.532

Mayasiroh, N. W. (2021). *Analisis Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Ketidakpatuhan Masyarakat Melakukan Isolasi Mandiri Pada Masa Pandemi Covid-19* (Doctoral dissertation, STIKes Insan Cendekia Medika Jombang).

Pascaningrum Sunaryo, S. (2023). Implementasi Komunikasi Online antara Dokter dan Pasien melalui Telemedicine di Masa Pandemi Covid-19. Jurnal Lensa Mutiara Komunikasi, 7(1), 37–50. https://doi.org/10.51544/jlmk.v7i1.3876

Pratama, A. Y., Debora, D., Elisa, S., Araisya, T. N., & Susila, A. Y. (2021). Disfungsionalitas Humanitarianisme: Ekspektasi Kehadiran Resiprositas dalam Isu Vaksinasi Pengungsi dan Pencari Suaka di Indonesia. Indonesian Perspective, 6(2), 206–221. https://doi.org/10.14710/ip.v6i2.43544

Purbaningrum, D., & Adinugraha, H. H. (2024). Transformasi Kebijakan Publik Menyiasati Ketidaktepatan Sasaran Bantuan Sosial Di Desa Pringsurat Untuk Kesejahteraan Masyarakat Yang Lebih Baik. *Jurnal Pembangunan dan Kebijakan Publik*, *15*(2), 31-44. https://doi.org/10.36624/jpkp.v15i2.116

Rosyadi, S., & Indiaho, D. (2020). Administrasi Publik New Normal. *SIPPublishing Jawa Tengah*

Sarjito, A. (2024). Pelayanan Kesehatan Masyarakat Dalam Krisis: Pembelajaran Dari Strategi Respons Pandemi Di Indonesia. Jurnal Wahana Bina Pemerintahan, 6(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.55745/jwbp.v6i1.125

Setiadi, I. (2021). Sinergi Kepemimpinan dalam Masa Pandemik: Menghadapi Krisis Manajemen dalam Kebijakan Mengatasi Pandemik Covid-19. *Jurnal Sosial Teknologi*, *1*(6), 451-464. https://doi.org/10.59188/jurnalsostech.v1i6.115

Taufik, T., & Warsono, H. (2020). Birokrasi Baru Untuk New Normal: Tinjauan Model Perubahan Birokrasi Dalam Pelayanan Publik Di Era COVID-19. Dialogue : Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Publik, 2(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.14710/dialogue.v2i1.8182

Utami, P. (2023). Transformasi Administrasi Publik: Inovasi Dan Adaptasi Menuju Efisiensi Dan Pelayanan Publik Berkualitas. PAPATUNG: Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi Publik, Pemerintahan Dan Politik, 6(2), 1–9. https://doi.org/10.54783/japp.v6i2.726

Wismayanti, K. W. D., & Purnamaningsih, P. E. (2023). Transformasi Birokrasi Digital Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19 Guna Meningkatkan Pelayanan Administrasi Kependudukan (Studi Kasus: Dinas Kependudukan dan Pencatatan Sipil Kabupaten Badung). Jurnal Ilmiah Cakrawarti, 6(1), 147–159. https://doi.org/10.47532/jic.v6i1.812