

## Juridical Review of the Process of Revoking a Civil Decision Lawsuit Based on Article 271 Rv in Decision Number 413/Pdt.G/2024/PN Mdn"

Maria Kaban  
Universitas Sumatera Utara

Received:  
Revised:  
Accepted:  
Published:

Corresponding Author:  
Author Name\*: Maria Kaban  
Email\*:  
[profmariakaban@gmail.com](mailto:profmariakaban@gmail.com)

**Abstrak:** *Decision number 413/Pdt.G/2024/PN Mdn discusses the legal process for withdrawing a lawsuit based on Article 271Rv. This case involved several parties, with the plaintiff filing to withdraw the lawsuit before the defendant gave an answer. The court stated that the action was in accordance with applicable legal provisions. The process of withdrawing the lawsuit in this case occurred before the reading of the lawsuit, at which point the judge stated that this withdrawal did not conflict with the law. The judge decided to grant the revocation and ordered the removal of the lawsuit from the register. In the context of Indonesian law, Article 271Rv is the main reference in handling the revocation of the lawsuit. This article allows the plaintiff to withdraw his lawsuit on condition that the withdrawal is carried out before there is a response from the defendant.n.*

**Keywords :** *Article 271Rv, Claimant, Plaintiff*

### INTRODUCTION

Civil procedural law in Indonesia regulates various procedures to ensure that the judicial process is fair and orderly. One important aspect of civil procedural law is the provisions regarding the withdrawal of a lawsuit. Withdrawing a lawsuit is an action taken by a plaintiff to withdraw a lawsuit that has been filed in court. This provision is regulated in Article 271 of the Civil Procedure Law Regulations (Rv), which gives the plaintiff the right to withdraw his lawsuit before the defendant provides an answer.

In the context of Indonesian law, withdrawing a lawsuit is a right protected by law. However, its implementation cannot be separated from several provisions that must be fulfilled to ensure that the rights of all parties in the judicial process remain protected. One important provision is that the withdrawal of the lawsuit must be made before the defendant provides an answer, unless with the defendant's consent. This aims to prevent abuse of the legal process and to protect the interests of defendants who may have already prepared an answer or defense.<sup>1</sup>

The case with decision number 413/Pdt.G/2024/PN Mdn is interesting to analyze because it provides a concrete picture of the application of Article 271 Rv in practice. This case involved the plaintiff deciding to withdraw his lawsuit before the defendant provided an answer. The court

<sup>1</sup>Siahaan, HA (2020). Basic Principles of Indonesian Civil Procedure Law. Bandung: Alumni Publishers.



decision in this case provides insight into how judges interpret and apply legal provisions regarding dismissal of lawsuits.

In this case, the plaintiff withdrew his lawsuit for reasons that were not explained in detail in the decision. However, this action was carried out before the defendant provided an answer, so it is in accordance with the provisions of Article 271 Rv. The court decided to accept the revocation and ordered the lawsuit to be removed from the register. This decision shows that the court recognizes the plaintiff's right to withdraw the lawsuit provided it is done within the time limits permitted by law.

Withdrawing the lawsuit also has financial implications, especially related to court costs. In this case, the court determined that court costs were the responsibility of the plaintiff. This is consistent with the principle that withdrawing a lawsuit does not relieve the plaintiff of the obligation to pay costs that have arisen in the judicial process. This decision reflects the court's efforts to uphold justice by ensuring that the party who files and then withdraws the lawsuit still bears the costs that have been incurred.

The court's decision in this case emphasizes the importance of understanding the legal provisions regarding the withdrawal of lawsuits. For legal practitioners, this case provides important guidance on when and how to legally dismiss a lawsuit. A good understanding of these provisions is important to ensure that clients' rights are protected and that the judicial process is fair.<sup>2</sup>

This decision is also relevant in the context of case management in court. Dismissal of a lawsuit before a defendant answers allows the court to allocate resources and time to other cases that require attention. Thus, withdrawing the lawsuit can help reduce the court's workload and speed up the resolution of the case.

From an academic perspective, this case is interesting to study further because it provides a real example of the application of the law in a practical situation. This opens up opportunities for further research on how the provisions of civil procedural law are applied in various cases and how these decisions influence judicial practice.

Overall, this decision provides a clear view of the role of the courts in managing the dismissal process. This shows that, although the plaintiff has the right to withdraw the lawsuit, this action must be carried out in accordance with applicable legal provisions. This ensures that all parties to the judicial process are treated fairly and that the integrity of the legal system is maintained.

---

<sup>2</sup>Wicaksono, A., & Firmansyah, R. (2022). Indonesian Civil Procedure Law. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.

## METODOLOGI

This research uses a qualitative juridical method with a literature review approach. A qualitative juridical approach was chosen because the focus of this research is on the legal analysis of the lawsuit withdrawal process based on Article 271 Rv. This method aims to understand legal norms, legal principles, and how these legal provisions are applied in judicial practice.

The literature review approach is used to collect and analyze data from various legal sources, such as statutory regulations, court decisions, legal literature, scientific journals, and other relevant legal documents. This literature review helps researchers gain a comprehensive understanding of the topic under study, namely the withdrawal of lawsuits in Indonesian civil procedural law.

The data collected in this research was analyzed qualitatively. Qualitative analysis involves interpretation and in-depth understanding of the content of legal documents and existing literature. This allows researchers to identify and understand applicable legal principles, as well as the practical implications of the legal provisions being analyzed.

In the analysis process, researchers also compare findings from various sources to ensure the consistency and validity of legal interpretations. This approach helps in identifying key patterns and themes in legal practice regarding dismissal of lawsuits.

This research also considers the social and historical context of the legal provisions analyzed. This is important to understand how and why the law was formed, as well as how it is applied in the context of judicial practice<sup>3</sup>.

The entire research process aims to provide an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of how the law regarding the withdrawal of lawsuits is applied in judicial practice in Indonesia. It is hoped that the results of this research can contribute to the development of legal science, especially in the field of civil procedural law.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Filling in decision number 413/Pdt.G/2024/PN Mdn, the court decided to grant the withdrawal of the lawsuit filed by the plaintiff before the defendant provided an answer. This decision shows that the court complies with the provisions of Article 271 Rv, which allows the withdrawal of the lawsuit before the defendant provides an answer. This decision confirms that the withdrawal of the lawsuit carried out within the time limit determined by law is valid and valid.

Withdrawing a lawsuit before the defendant provides an answer has significant legal implications. One of them is that the legal process is terminated without affecting the substantive rights of the parties involved. In this case, the court ensured that the withdrawal of the lawsuit did

---

<sup>3</sup>Utama, MI, & Arifin, Z. (2019). Judicial Interpretation of Procedural Laws in Indonesia: A Case Study. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 14(2), 45-58.

not harm the defendant because it was done before the defendant provided an answer or prepared a defense. This reflects the principles of justice and compliance with applicable legal procedures.<sup>4</sup>

The court's decision also reflects the importance of legal certainty in the judicial process. By allowing the withdrawal of the lawsuit in accordance with the provisions of Article 271 Rv, the court provides legal certainty for the parties regarding their rights and obligations in the judicial process. This legal certainty is important to maintain the integrity of the justice system and ensure that the legal process runs fairly and orderly.<sup>5</sup>

Apart from that, the court in its decision also determined that court costs were the responsibility of the plaintiff. This decision is important because it confirms that even though the lawsuit is withdrawn, the plaintiff still has to bear the costs that have arisen during the judicial process. This is in accordance with the principle that withdrawing a lawsuit does not free the plaintiff from financial obligations that have arisen as a result of filing the lawsuit.

The application of Article 271 Rv in this case also highlights the role of the court in ensuring that the procedure for withdrawing the lawsuit is carried out legally and in accordance with applicable legal provisions. The court has the authority to assess whether the withdrawal of the lawsuit is carried out within the permitted time limit and whether the withdrawal does not harm other parties. This authority is important to maintain a balance between the rights of plaintiffs and defendants in the judicial process.<sup>6</sup>

From a practical perspective, this decision provides guidance for legal practitioners on how the procedure for withdrawing a lawsuit should be carried out. Lawyers and parties involved in the judicial process can use this decision as a reference to understand the legal provisions regarding the withdrawal of lawsuits and their implications for court costs and the rights of the parties.<sup>7</sup>

Apart from that, analysis of this decision also reveals the importance of understanding the provisions of civil procedural law in depth. Legal practitioners need to have comprehensive knowledge about the withdrawal procedures and how these provisions are applied in practice. This understanding is important to ensure that the legal action taken is in accordance with applicable regulations and does not harm the interests of their clients.

---

<sup>4</sup>Fajri, M. (2019). *Legal Aspects in Civil Dispute Resolution*. Jakarta: Rajawali Press

<sup>5</sup>Asikin, Z. (2020). *Civil Procedure Law in Indonesia: An Introduction*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.

<sup>6</sup>Aziz, AS, & Nadir, M. (2021). Legal Perspectives on Withdrawal of Civil Lawsuits in Indonesian Procedural Law. *Journal of Legal Studies*, 10(2), 145-160.

<sup>7</sup>Prasetyo, T., & Ridwan, M. (2019). Application of Article 271 Rv in Withdrawing Lawsuits in District Courts. *Journal of Law and Justice*, 12(1), 99-113.

An academic perspective, this decision offers opportunities for further research regarding the application of Article 271 Rv in various cases. Research could focus on comparative analysis of court decisions involving dismissal of lawsuits to understand how these provisions are applied in various contexts and situations. This can provide deeper insight into how civil procedural law in Indonesia develops and is applied in practice.

Apart from that, this decision is also relevant in the context of legal policy development in Indonesia. Analysis of this decision can provide input for policy makers on how the provisions of civil procedural law can be improved or adjusted to increase efficiency and fairness in the judicial process. Thus, research on this decision not only has academic value but can also contribute to the development of a better legal system.

This decision also emphasizes the importance of good documentation and reporting in the judicial process. By providing clear and detailed documentation regarding the withdrawal of the lawsuit and the court decision, the parties and the public can understand the legal basis of the decision. This transparency is important to maintain public trust in the justice system and ensure that the legal process runs fairly and openly.

Furthermore, this decision highlights the importance of time in the process of withdrawing a lawsuit. The requirement that the withdrawal of the lawsuit must be made before the defendant provides an answer emphasizes that plaintiffs must act quickly and in a timely manner if they wish to withdraw their lawsuit without needing the defendant's consent. This shows that timeliness is an important factor in the legal process and that the court prioritizes certainty and efficiency in handling cases.

Apart from the legal aspect, this decision also has practical implications for case management in court. By accepting the dismissal of a lawsuit before the defendant has responded, the court can allocate resources and time to other cases that require attention. This helps reduce court workload and increases efficiency in case resolution.

Overall, this decision makes a significant contribution to the understanding and application of civil procedural law in Indonesia. Analysis of this decision shows that the court has an important role in ensuring that the process of withdrawing the lawsuit is carried out in accordance with applicable legal provisions and that the rights of all parties in the judicial process are protected. This is important to maintain the integrity of the justice system and ensure that justice is served at every step of the legal process.

Thus, these results and discussion not only provide insight into decision number 413/Pdt.G/2024/PN Mdn but also offer practical and theoretical guidance for legal practitioners, academics and policy makers in understanding and applying the relevant civil procedural law provisions. withdrawal of the lawsuit. This ensures that the Indonesian legal system continues to develop and function well in providing justice for all parties involved.

## CONCLUSIONS

Decision number 413/Pdt.G/2024/PN Mdn provides an in-depth understanding of the mechanism for withdrawing a lawsuit in Indonesian civil procedural law, especially under Article 271 Rv. In this case, the court emphasized that the plaintiff had the right to withdraw his lawsuit before the defendant provided an answer. This shows that civil procedural law in Indonesia provides flexibility to plaintiffs in managing their lawsuit process, provided that revocation is carried out within the time frame determined by law.

The court decision granting the dismissal of this lawsuit also emphasizes the importance of compliance with existing legal procedures. The court confirmed that the revocation did not harm the defendant because it was carried out before there was a response from the defendant. This is important to maintain the principles of justice and balance between the rights of plaintiffs and defendants in the justice system.

In terms of liability for court costs, this decision stipulates that the plaintiff must bear the costs that have been incurred, even if the lawsuit is withdrawn. This reflects the principle that the withdrawal of a lawsuit does not mean the elimination of financial obligations that have arisen during the judicial process. This decision serves as a reminder to parties that legal proceedings have financial consequences that must be carefully considered before taking action.

Analysis of this decision also shows that the court has a crucial role in ensuring that the process of withdrawing the lawsuit is carried out in accordance with legal provisions. The court not only assesses whether the revocation was carried out in a timely manner, but also considers the impact on the parties involved. This suggests that the courts act as guardians of the legal process, ensuring that the rights of all parties are protected.

This decision provides clear guidance for legal practitioners and parties involved in the judicial process regarding the procedure for withdrawing a lawsuit. This helps avoid confusion and ensures that revocation is carried out in a legal and timely manner. Thus, this decision contributes to a better understanding of the provisions of civil procedural law in Indonesia.

This ruling is also relevant from the perspective of legal policy development. Through the analysis and application of Article 271 Rv in this case, areas can be identified where the law can be improved or adjusted to increase efficiency and fairness in the justice system. This shows that court decisions are not only important in the specific context of the case but also have broader implications for the development of the law as a whole.

In addition, this decision emphasizes the importance of time in the legal process. Timeliness in withdrawing a lawsuit is key to avoiding complications and ensuring that the legal rights of all parties are respected. Plaintiffs who understand these provisions can better manage their cases, while defendants can rest easier knowing that there is a time limit on dismissal of a lawsuit without their consent.

This ruling also highlights the importance of good documentation in the judicial process. By providing detailed documentation of the process and decisions regarding the withdrawal of the lawsuit, the court can provide clarity and transparency in its procedures. This is important to maintain public confidence in the justice system and ensure that the legal process is fair and transparent.

Overall, these decisions provide important insights into legal practice and the role of courts in enforcing the rule of law. This shows that the Indonesian justice system has mechanisms designed to protect individual rights while ensuring that the justice process runs efficiently and fairly. This decision, therefore, serves as an important foundation for the understanding and application of civil procedural law in Indonesia.

Through analysis of this decision, it can be concluded that although the plaintiff has the right to withdraw the lawsuit, this action must be carried out with careful consideration and in accordance with applicable legal provisions. This is important to maintain the integrity of the legal process and ensure that justice is served at every step of the judicial process. This decision not only provides practical guidance but also emphasizes the importance of the legal principles underlying the Indonesian justice system.

## REFERENCES

- Asikin, Z. (2020). *Hukum Acara Perdata di Indonesia: Suatu Pengantar*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Aziz, A. S., & Nadir, M. (2021). Legal Perspectives on Withdrawal of Civil Lawsuits in Indonesian Procedural Law. *Journal of Legal Studies*, 10(2), 145-160.
- Fajri, M. (2019). *Aspek Hukum dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Perdata*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- Hadjon, P. M., & Tjandra, W. L. (2022). *Pengantar Hukum Indonesia*. Surabaya: Airlangga University Press.
- Hosen, N. (2021). The Dynamics of Law and Justice in Indonesia: An Overview. *Asian Journal of Comparative Law*, 16(1), 50-67.
- Mulyadi, L. (2023). *Hukum Acara Perdata Indonesia: Teori dan Praktik*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- Prasetyo, T., & Ridwan, M. (2019). Penerapan Pasal 271 Rv dalam Pencabutan Gugatan di Pengadilan Negeri. *Jurnal Hukum dan Keadilan*, 12(1), 99-113.
- Siahaan, H. A. (2020). *Prinsip-Prinsip Dasar Hukum Acara Perdata Indonesia*. Bandung: Penerbit Alumni.
- Suryani, I., & Haryanto, A. (2021). Efektivitas Pelaksanaan Putusan Pengadilan dalam Hukum Acara Perdata Indonesia. *Indonesian Journal of Law and Policy Studies*, 5(3), 85-98.
- Tahir, D. (2022). *Hukum Acara Perdata: Tinjauan Teoretis dan Praktis*. Jakarta: Pustaka Yustisia.

- Tjandra, W. L. (2020). Pengantar Ilmu Hukum: Perspektif Hukum Indonesia. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Utama, M. I., & Arifin, Z. (2019). Judicial Interpretation of Procedural Laws in Indonesia: A Case Study. *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 14(2), 45-58.
- Wicaksono, A., & Firmansyah, R. (2022). *Hukum Acara Perdata Indonesia*. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Wulandari, S. (2021). Legal Considerations in the Withdrawal of Lawsuits under Indonesian Civil Procedure Law. *Indonesian Journal of Law*, 17(4), 321-335.
- Yusniar, M., & Fahmi, S. (2023). Reformasi Hukum Acara Perdata: Tantangan dan Peluang. *Journal of Legal Reform*, 6(1), 101-115.